



Thesis tss-lib BitForge Remediation

Security Assessment (Summary Report)

September 7, 2023

Prepared for:

Piotr Dyraga

Thesis

Prepared by: **Tjaden Hess**

About Trail of Bits

Founded in 2012 and headquartered in New York, Trail of Bits provides technical security assessment and advisory services to some of the world's most targeted organizations. We combine high-end security research with a real-world attacker mentality to reduce risk and fortify code. With 100+ employees around the globe, we've helped secure critical software elements that support billions of end users, including Kubernetes and the Linux kernel.

We maintain an exhaustive list of publications at <https://github.com/trailofbits/publications>, with links to papers, presentations, public audit reports, and podcast appearances.

In recent years, Trail of Bits consultants have showcased cutting-edge research through presentations at CanSecWest, HCSS, Devcon, Empire Hacking, GrrCon, LangSec, NorthSec, the O'Reilly Security Conference, PyCon, REcon, Security BSides, and SummerCon.

We specialize in software testing and code review projects, supporting client organizations in the technology, defense, and finance industries, as well as government entities. Notable clients include HashiCorp, Google, Microsoft, Western Digital, and Zoom.

Trail of Bits also operates a center of excellence with regard to blockchain security. Notable projects include audits of Algorand, Bitcoin SV, Chainlink, Compound, Ethereum 2.0, MakerDAO, Matic, Uniswap, Web3, and Zcash.

To keep up to date with our latest news and announcements, please follow [@trailofbits](#) on Twitter and explore our public repositories at <https://github.com/trailofbits>. To engage us directly, visit our "Contact" page at <https://www.trailofbits.com/contact>, or email us at info@trailofbits.com.

Trail of Bits, Inc.

228 Park Ave S #80688

New York, NY 10003

<https://www.trailofbits.com>

info@trailofbits.com

Notices and Remarks

Copyright and Distribution

© 2023 by Trail of Bits, Inc.

All rights reserved. Trail of Bits hereby asserts its right to be identified as the creator of this report in the United Kingdom.

This report is considered by Trail of Bits to be public information; it is licensed to Thesis under the terms of the project statement of work and has been made public at Thesis's request. Material within this report may not be reproduced or distributed in part or in whole without the express written permission of Trail of Bits.

The sole canonical source for Trail of Bits publications is the [Trail of Bits Publications page](#). Reports accessed through any source other than that page may have been modified and should not be considered authentic.

Test Coverage Disclaimer

All activities undertaken by Trail of Bits in association with this project were performed in accordance with a statement of work and agreed upon project plan.

Security assessment projects are time-boxed and often reliant on information that may be provided by a client, its affiliates, or its partners. As a result, the findings documented in this report should not be considered a comprehensive list of security issues, flaws, or defects in the target system or codebase.

Table of Contents

About Trail of Bits	1
Notices and Remarks	2
Table of Contents	3
Project Summary	4
Executive Summary	5
Summary of Findings	6

Project Summary

Contact Information

The following project manager was associated with this project:

Anne Marie Barry, Project Manager
annemarie.barry@trailofbits.com

The following engineering director was associated with this project:

Jim Miller, Engineering Director, Cryptography
james.miller@trailofbits.com

The following consultant was associated with this project:

Tjaden Hess, Consultant
tjaden.hess@trailofbits.com

Project Timeline

The significant events and milestones of the project are listed below.

Date	Event
June 6–7, 2023	Key generation BitForge remediation review
June 29, 2023	Key refresh BitForge remediation review
August 9, 2023	Public disclosure of BitForge vulnerabilities by Fireblocks
September 1, 2023	Public disclosure and remediation of vulnerabilities in <code>tss-lib</code>
September 7, 2023	Delivery of summary report

Executive Summary

Thesis engaged Trail of Bits to review the security of patches to its fork of the `tss-lib` threshold ECDSA library; the fixes are associated with a **reported vulnerability** in the GG18 and GG20 signing protocols due to missing proofs of well-formedness for adversarially constructed Paillier moduli. Thesis resolved the issue by implementing the MOD and FAC proofs from **CGGMP21**.

Trail of Bits reviewed the changes, which are reflected in commit **2e71268** of the **threshold-network/tss-lib** GitHub repository.

One consultant conducted the review on June 6–7 and June 29, 2023, for a total of three engineer-days of effort. With full access to source code, documentation, and the Fireblocks security disclosure, we performed a manual review of the fixes.

The primary focus of the engagement was to address the following questions:

- Do the proposed changes fully and correctly remediate the disclosed vulnerability?
- Are the proposed changes sufficiently comprehensive and likely to cover similar issues in the future?
- Do the proposed changes introduce new bugs or security vulnerabilities?
- Do the proposed changes maintain a high standard of code quality?

The review was narrowly scoped to the fixes in the relevant pull requests and does not constitute a comprehensive assessment of the `tss-lib` library or the GG18 and GG20 threshold signing protocols.

The primary deliverable for this review was inline comments on the pull requests implementing the fixes. In this report, we summarize the findings and recommendations provided to Thesis.

Overall, the fixes adequately mitigate the disclosed vulnerability and maintain a level of code quality similar to or better than the overall `tss-lib` codebase.

Thesis promptly resolved all issues that Trail of Bits raised during the course of the review.

Summary of Findings

Forget-and-Forgive Issues in Resharing

The `tss-lib` key resharing protocol includes a final round in which participants confirm that the Schnorr proofs issued in the previous round are valid and that the protocol has thus terminated successfully. This round is designed to mitigate an issue known as the **forget-and-forgive** attack, where a malicious participant can cause some parties to abort while others succeed, which results in all users being permanently unable to sign with the shared key. Thesis's proposed fix used this round to validate the no-small-factors proof, which undermined the original mitigation. Thesis resolved this issue by adding a confirmation round. In general, preventing this issue requires a full byzantine consensus procedure to agree upon the success or failure of the protocol.

Duplicate Ring-Pedersen Parameters

The proposed fix added another set of ring-Pedersen generators over the Paillier modulus. The fix was proposed in this manner due to a difference between the `tss-lib` implementation of GG20, which uses separate moduli for ring-Pedersen commitments and Paillier homomorphic encryption, and CGGMP21, which uses a single modulus for both purposes. The protocol needs only one set of Pedersen parameters per party, and duplication leads to increased cost and complexity. Per our recommendation, Thesis removed the duplicate set of Pedersen parameters.

Missing Validation in the PRM Proofs

The PRM proof demonstrates that a given Pedersen parameter s is contained in the subgroup generated by the other parameter t . The soundness of the proof depends on t being an invertible element modulo the Pedersen modulus N . The existing PRM proof in `tss-lib` did not include this check; however, due to the use of an extra PRM proof, as described in the next finding, this finding was not exploitable. Thesis addressed this issue by adding a GCD check to confirm that s and t are coprime to N .

Redundant PRM Proof

The `tss-lib` implementation included PRM proofs demonstrating both that s generated $t \pmod N$ and that t generated $s \pmod N$. The security of the system relies on the verifier checking that t generates s , which ensures the hiding property of the ring-Pedersen commitment scheme. However, it is not necessary to verify the converse (that s generates t) because loss of the binding property of the commitment harms only the generator of the parameters. In CGGMP21, only one direction is proven and verified. We brought this to the attention of the Thesis team, who opted to remove the unnecessary proof while implementing the necessary coprimality check described in the previous finding.